arXiv:1303.7299v2 [hep-th] 30 May 2013

IPMU 13-0067
UT-13-12

Notes on reductions of superstring theory to bosonic string theory

Kantaro Ohmori$^\flat$ and Yuji Tachikawa$^{\flat,\sharp}$

$\flat$ Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 133-0022, Japan,
$\sharp$ Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe,
University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan

Abstract

It is in general very subtle to integrate over the odd moduli of super Riemann surfaces in perturbative superstring computations. We study how these subtleties go away in favorable cases, including the embedding of $\mathcal{N}=0$ string to $\mathcal{N}=1$ string by Berkovits and Vafa, and the relation of the graviphoton amplitude and the topological string amplitude by Antoniadis, Gava, Narain and Taylor and Bershadsky, Cecotti, Ooguri and Vafa. The Poincaré dual of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces in the moduli space of super Riemann surfaces plays an important role.

1 Introduction and Summary

Perturbative superstring amplitudes are given by an integral over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of super Riemann surfaces [1, 2]. As clarified in a series of papers last year [3–5], general properties of superstring amplitudes can be formulated and be understood directly in terms of $\mathcal{M}$. That said, practical computations were often done by first reducing the integral on $\mathcal{M}$ to an integral on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{red}}$ of Riemann surfaces[1], using a projection

$$p : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{red}}. \tag{1.1}$$

A main source of the difficulties is that p cannot in general be globally holomorphic when the genus is sufficiently high [6]. This fact introduces various subtle global issues when one tries to first integrate over the odd moduli. This problem was often called the ambiguity of the integrand in the literature.

Yet there are favorable cases where superstring amplitudes can be reduced to bosonic string amplitudes by showing a relation schematically of the form

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} F = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{red}}} F'. \tag{1.2}$$

Two examples are

- the embedding of an arbitrary bosonic string to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superstring by Berkovits and Vafa [7], and
- the reduction of the graviphoton amplitudes in Calabi-Yau compactifications to the topological string amplitudes by Antoniadis, Gava, Narain and Taylor [8] and by Bershadsky, Cecotti, Ooguri and Vafa [9]. Note that topological strings are bosonic strings as far as the integration over the moduli space is concerned.

The aim of this short note is to show that the so-called ambiguity of the integrand does not affect these equivalences, because only the holomorphic inclusion

$$\iota : \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{red}} \to \mathcal{M} \tag{1.3}$$

is used in the analysis. Namely, we will find below that the integrand F on the superstring side automatically has the form

$$F = F' \wedge \mathrm{PD}[\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{red}}] \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathrm{PD}[\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{red}}]$ denotes the Poincaré dual of the bosonic moduli space in the super moduli space. This structure is a consequence of a simple argument involving charge conservation on the world sheet.

---

[1] In this article we use X_{red} to denote the bosonic part of the supermanifold X, following [3].

In the formalism using the picture changing operators [1], our observation can be summarized as follows. In a patch of the supermoduli space, we can integrate out the odd moduli, producing the insertion of picture changing operators. Changing the positions of the picture changing operators in general produces an exact form on the bosonic moduli space. This leads to various ambiguities in the integral when we glue together the contributions from various patches to obtain the total amplitude. The observation of [3–5] is that this ambiguity is in general unavoidable if we insist on trying to reduce the computation on the integral over the bosonic moduli space, and that the computation free of ambiguity is possible if we consider the integral over the supermoduli space as it is. Therefore, when computing general amplitudes, it is not a very good idea to start from the integration over the bosonic moduli space of correlation functions with picture changing operators and then to worry the possible ambiguities.

What we are going to show in this note is that the two favorable cases mentioned above, and in general the condition (1.4), correspond to the cases where those exact forms are zero and correlation functions are completely independent of the positions of picture changing operators. In the case of embedding of the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ string to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ string, this independence was already mentioned in the original paper [7]. The independence on the positions of picture changing operators in the graviphoton amplitude is a new observation.

Differently put, the two cases mentioned above and in general the case covered by (1.4) are very special in that the integral over the supermoduli space naturally reduces to an integral over the bosonic moduli space. Therefore we use a more general framework of [3–5] in this note, explaining the correspondence with the more traditional framework of [1] in appropriate places. For example, using picture changing operators corresponds to taking the worldsheet gravitino to be supported by delta functions. Our results applies to more general gauge choices of the worldsheet gravitino; the only condition is that the gravitino is zero where the physical vertex operators sit.

The rest of the article is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we review the basics of the superstring perturbation theory as an integration over the moduli space of super Riemann surfaces. In particular we recall where the difficulties from the integration of odd moduli arise. In Sec. 3 we explain when these difficulties do not arise, thanks to the appearance of the Poincaré dual of the reduced space in the superspace. There, we also mention how the situation can be described by picture changing formalism. In Sec. 4 we apply this analysis to the embedding of the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ string to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ string by Berkovits and Vafa, and in Sec 5 the same analysis is similarly applied to the reduction of the graviphoton amplitudes to the topological string amplitude.

We note that the contents of Sec. 3 and of Sec. 4 and much more were independently reached by Witten [10].

2 A short review on integrals over supermoduli

In this section we collect the basics of supermanifolds and super Riemann surfaces to formulate superstring perturbation theory. Those readers who are familiar with the contents of [3–5] can directly skip to the next section.

2.1 Supermanifolds and super Riemann surfaces

A supermanifold of dimension $p|q$, where p is the bosonic dimension and q is the fermionic dimension, is constructed by pasting subsets of superspace $\mathbb{R}^{p|q}$. Two patches represented by coordinates $(x^i|\theta^\mu)$ and $(x^{i'}|\theta^{\mu\prime})$ are glued together with gluing functions:

$$x^{i'} = f^i(x|\theta), \quad \theta^{\mu\prime} = \psi^\mu(x|\theta) \tag{2.1}$$

where f is even and ψ is odd. If f and ψ are both real, then the resulting supermanifold M is called real. If the constraint is only $f(x|\theta=0)$ is real, then M is called cs manifold. If we replace $\mathbb{R}^{p|q}$ with $\mathbb{C}^{p|q}$ and require f and ψ to be holomorphic , we get complex supermanifold.

We can construct an ordinary manifold M_{red}, called the reduced manifold, form supermanifold M by ignoring all fermionic things. Gluing functions of M_{red} is simply $f^i(x|\theta=0)$. Reduced manifolds of real or cs supermanifolds are real manifolds, and reduced manifolds of complex supermanifolds are complex manifolds.

If we can take coordinates such that f of (2.1) depend only on bosonic coordinates, the manifold called projected. All smooth supermanifolds are smoothly projected but in general complex supermanifolds are not holomorphically projected. This prevents us from naively dealing with odd moduli of superstring worldsheets.

We have to integrate superfunctions over supermanifold to set up superstring perturbation theory. We define an integral top form as $\Omega = f(x|\theta)\prod_i \delta(\mathrm{d}x^i)\prod_\mu \delta(\mathrm{d}\theta^\mu)$ where $\mathrm{d}x^i = \delta(\mathrm{d}x^i)$ for bosonic variables x^i. The integration of an integral top form Ω over a patch U_α is defined as:

$$\int_{U_\alpha} \Omega|_{U_\alpha} := \int_{U_{\alpha,\text{red}}} \prod_i \mathrm{d}x^i f_{\text{full}}(x) \tag{2.2}$$

where we expand $\Omega|_{U_\alpha}$ as

$$\Omega|_{U_\alpha} = \left(f(x) + f_\mu(x)\theta^\mu + \cdots + f_{\text{full}}(x)\prod_\mu \theta^\mu \right) \prod_i \delta(\mathrm{d}x^i) \prod_\mu \delta(\mathrm{d}\theta^\mu). \tag{2.3}$$

With this definition, we can check the validity of various natural properties that integration operations should have, for instance the super-analog of Stokes' theorem.

For Ω to be globally defined, $\Omega|_{U_\alpha}$ and $\Omega|_{U_\beta}$ should be glued together by gluing function gluing U_α and U_β. Note that this consistency does not always mean the gluing consistency of the locally defined top form $f_{\text{full}} \prod_i \mathrm{d}x^i$ on the ordinary manifold M_{red}. A concrete example can be found in subsection 2.5.

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Riemann surfaces are complex supermanifolds of dimension $1|1$ with odd deformation parameters η with gluing functions of a particular form given by

$$\begin{aligned} z' &= u(z|\eta) + \theta\zeta(z|\eta)\sqrt{u(z|\eta)}, \\ \theta' &= \zeta(z|\eta) + \theta\sqrt{\partial_z u(z|\eta) + \zeta(z|\eta)\partial_z\zeta(z|\eta)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

This is the constraint so that the superconformal transformation generates the coordinate change as defined in (2.4).

The supermoduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is the complex supermanifold which parameterizes isomorphism classes of super Riemann surfaces of certain genus. The dimension of $\mathcal{M}$ is $3g-3|2g-2$ for $g \geq 2$.

Super Riemann surfaces which can be constructed without any ζ's are called split. For a split super Riemann surface, the transformation (2.4) means that θ transforms as a section of a spin bundle $T\Sigma_{\text{red}}^{1/2}$ of the reduced Riemann surface Σ_{red}. So, the reduced space $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ of the supermoduli $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\text{spin}}$ of ordinary Riemann surfaces with spin structure.

Super Riemann surfaces with punctures represents superstring worldsheets with vertex operators. There are two types of punctures, NS punctures and R punctures. The dimension of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{n_{\text{NS}},n_{\text{R}}}$ of Super Riemann surfaces with n_{NS} NS punctures and n_{R} punctures is $3g-3+n_{\text{NS}}+n_{\text{R}}|2g-2+n_{\text{NS}}+n_{\text{R}}/2$.

To compute superstring amplitudes, we first define the moduli space for the right mover $\mathcal{M}_R$ and that for the left mover $\mathcal{M}_L$. For type II theory, both are supermoduli spaces and for heterotic theory one is a supermoduli space and the other is an ordinary moduli space. Then we define a integration cycle $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{M}_L \times \mathcal{M}_R$ such that Γ_{red} equals the diagonal $\mathcal{M}_{\text{red}}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{R,\text{red}} \times \mathcal{M}_{L,\text{red}}$. Then superstring amplitudes are given by an integral of a top form on Γ. The choice of Γ is not canonical, but integration on Γ is.

2.2 Superstring amplitudes as integrals over the supermoduli

Superstring perturbation theory is given by an integral over the super moduli space of a top form

$$F_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{J}, \delta\mathcal{J}) := \int \mathcal{D}(\text{matter, ghost}) \exp(-\widehat{I})\mathcal{V} \tag{2.5}$$

involving the action $\widehat{I}$ and the product of vertex operators $\mathcal{V} = \prod_i \mathcal{V}_i$ where each vertex operator $\mathcal{V}_i$ is an unintegrated vertex operator of conformal dimension 0 and picture number -1 for an NS vertex operator and $-1/2$ for an R vertex operator. Here the action $\widehat{I}$ is obtained as

$$\widehat{I} = I + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_\Sigma \mathcal{D}(\tilde{z}, z|\tilde{\theta}, \theta)\left(\delta\mathcal{J}B - \delta\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}\widetilde{B}\right) \tag{2.6}$$

where I is the original worldsheet action, $\delta\mathcal{J}$ and $\delta\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}$ are superfields representing differentials of supercomplex structure of the worldsheet, and B and $\widetilde{B}$ are superfields of the holomorphic and antiholomorphic superghosts.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ consist of n_{R} R vertex operators and n_{NS} NS vertex operators in terms of right movers, and $\widetilde{n}_{\mathrm{R}}$ R vertex operators and $\widetilde{n}_{\mathrm{NS}}$ NS vertex operators in terms of lift movers. Then $F_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a form on $\mathcal{M}_{R,n_{\mathrm{R}},n_{\mathrm{NS}}} \times \mathcal{M}_{L,\widetilde{n}_{\mathrm{R}},\widetilde{n}_{\mathrm{NS}}}$. The amplitude of the vertices $\mathcal{V}$ is then

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}} := \frac{1}{2^g}\int_{\Gamma} F_{\mathcal{V}}. \tag{2.7}$$

The factor $\frac{1}{2^g}$ comes from GSO projection.

For heterotic string theory, the result is similar except for that the supermoduli space $\mathcal{M}_L$ is replaced by the bosonic moduli space.

2.3 Coordinates of the supermoduli space

One way to calculate the amplitude (2.7) is to take an explicit coordinate on supermoduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n_{\mathrm{NS}},n_{\mathrm{R}}}$ with dimension $\Delta_e|\Delta_o$ where

$$\Delta_e = 3g-3+n_{\mathrm{NS}}+n_{\mathrm{R}}, \qquad \Delta_o = 2g-2+n_{\mathrm{NS}}+n_{\mathrm{R}}/2. \tag{2.8}$$

To study odd coordinates, consider a split super Riemann surface Σ. Odd deformations from Σ can be identified with $\chi \in H^1(\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}, \widehat{\mathcal{R}})$ where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R}\otimes\mathcal{O}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathrm{NS}}} z_i\right), \qquad \mathcal{R}^2 \simeq T\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}\otimes\mathcal{O}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathrm{R}}} x_i\right). \tag{2.9}$$

We call the modes χ the gravitino backgrounds, as they come from the two-dimensional supergravity field which couples to superstring. We then choose a particular basis $\{\chi^\sigma\}$ of $H^1(\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}, \widehat{\mathcal{R}})$ and expand χ as

$$\chi = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\Delta_o} \eta_\sigma \chi^\sigma. \tag{2.10}$$

The term in $\widehat{I}$ involving χ is now

$$I_\eta = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\Delta_o} \frac{\eta_\sigma}{2\pi}\int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z\,\chi^\sigma \widehat{S} \tag{2.11}$$

where $\widehat{S}$ is the supercurrent twisted to live in $\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}\otimes K\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}})$:

$$\widehat{S} = fS \tag{2.12}$$

where f is a locally defined function which behaves $f(z) \simeq \sqrt{z - x_i}$ near an R vertex at x_i and $f(z) \simeq z - z_i$ near an unintegrated NS vertex at z_i. The choice of a branch of f corresponds to the choice of a square root of (2.9). In Type II theory, there is also a term involing both χ and $\widetilde{\chi}$. The coupling between $\delta\mathcal{J}$ and β is similarly given by

$$I_{\mathrm{d}\eta} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\Delta_o} \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta_\sigma}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{\beta} \tag{2.13}$$

where $\widehat{\beta}$ is defined as in (2.12).

Inserting these couplings into (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathcal{V}}(m; \mathrm{d}\eta|\eta; \mathrm{d}m) = \Bigg\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{V}_i \exp\left(- \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\Delta_o} \frac{\eta_\sigma}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{S} - \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\Delta_o} \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta_\sigma}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{\beta} \right) \\ \times \exp\left(- \sum_{j=1}^{\Delta_e} \frac{\mathrm{d}m_j}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \mu^j \widehat{b} \right) \times (\text{antiholomorphic part}) \times (\chi\widetilde{\chi}\text{term}) \Bigg\rangle_{m,g} . \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Here $\langle\rangle_{m,g}$ denotes the correlation function under the metric background corresponding to coordinates m of the genus-g bosonic moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,\mathrm{red}}$ and $\{\mu_i\}$ is a basis of Beltrami differentials of $n_{\mathrm{NS}} + n_{\mathrm{R}}$ punctured Riemann surface. $\widehat{b} = gb$ is twisted to live in $\Gamma(K\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum z_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum x_i))$ (i.e. $g \sim z - z_i$ near a NS or R vertex at z_i).

2.4 Picture changing formalism

Integrating out η and $\mathrm{d}\eta$ gives the factor

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_\sigma \delta\left(\int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{\beta} \right) \delta\left(\int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{S} \right) \delta\left(\int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \widetilde{\chi}^\sigma \widehat{\widetilde{\beta}} \right) \delta\left(\int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \widetilde{\chi}^\sigma \widehat{\widetilde{S}} \right) \\ + (\text{contribution from } \chi\widetilde{\chi} \text{ term}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

A traditional choice of the gravitino basis $\{\chi\}$ is to take $\chi^\sigma = \delta(p_\sigma), \widetilde{\chi}^\sigma = \delta(q_\sigma)$ for some $p_\sigma\ q_\sigma in \Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}$. If all p_σ and q_σ are different, $\chi\widetilde{\chi}$ term does not contribute.

Then, the holomorphic part of the factor (2.15) above becomes

$$\prod_\sigma \mathscr{Y}(p_\sigma) := \prod_\sigma \delta\left(\widehat{\beta}(p_\sigma) \right) \widehat{S}(p_\sigma) = \prod_\sigma \delta\left(\beta(p_\sigma) \right) S(p_\sigma). \tag{2.16}$$

The operator $\mathscr{Y}(p_\sigma)$ is the picture changing operator. Then we have the familiar correlator

$$\left\langle \prod_i \mathcal{V}_i \prod_{\sigma=1}^{\Delta_o} \mathscr{Y}(p_\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{\Delta_e} \left(\mathrm{d}m^j \int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \mu^j \widehat{b} \right) \right\rangle \times (\text{antihol. part}) \tag{2.17}$$

but this expression is in general only valid in a patch of the super moduli space.

The formula (2.17) also has another problem. We assumed above that $[\chi^\sigma] = [\delta(p_\sigma)]$ forms a basis of $H^1(\Sigma_{\rm red}, \widehat{\mathcal{R}})$, where $[\bullet]$ denotes the class in $H^1(\Sigma_{\rm red}, \widehat{\mathcal{R}})$. This is not always the case. To see this, let us write down the condition when $[\delta(p_\sigma)]$ are linearly dependent in $H^1(\Sigma_{\rm red}, \widehat{\mathcal{R}})$, namely that the equation

$$\widetilde{\partial} y = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\Delta_o} e_\sigma \delta(p_\sigma) \tag{2.18}$$

has solutions for some complex numbers e_σ and y. This means that y has meromorphic and has poles only at p_σ's. Equivalently,

$$\dim H^0(\Sigma_{\rm red}, \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum_\sigma p_\sigma)) > 0. \tag{2.19}$$

When this happens the superghost correlation function has a pole, which is called the spurious singularity in the literature. Its appearance can be seen e.g. in (4.11).

2.5 Effect of the change of the coordinate system

Consider two open subsets U_1 and U_2 of $\mathcal{M}$ related by a superdiffeomorphism caused by a vector field $\theta y(\tilde{z}, z)\partial_z$. This changes the gravitino background as

$$\chi \to \chi' = \chi + \tilde{\partial} y \tag{2.20}$$

This induces to the change of basis χ^σ as

$$\chi^\sigma \to \chi^{\sigma\prime} = \chi^\sigma + \tilde{\partial} y^\sigma \tag{2.21}$$

where $\sum \eta_\sigma y^\sigma = y$.

The modes χ^σ and $\chi^{\sigma\prime}$ represent the same class of $H^1(\Sigma_{\rm red}, \widehat{\mathcal{R}})$ and the coordinate η_σ does not change. But the vector field $\theta y(\tilde{z}, z)\partial_z$ causes a change on the metric at second order:

$$h_{zz} \to h_{zz} + y\chi = h_{zz} + \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} \eta_\sigma \eta_{\sigma'} y^{\sigma'} \chi^\sigma. \tag{2.22}$$

The metric h determines the bosonic coordinates of the moduli, and therefore this superdiffeomorphism gives rise to a change of the coordinates, mixing odd and even moduli parameters.

This mixing of odd and even coordinates leads to the subtleties mentioned above. Consider a dimension $1|2$ complex supermanifold M and an integral

$$\int_M \omega \tag{2.23}$$

where ω is locally defined in a patch U as

$$\omega = (\gamma_0(t) + \gamma_2(t)\eta_1\eta_2)\mathrm{d}t\delta(\mathrm{d}\eta_1)\delta(\mathrm{d}\eta_2). \tag{2.24}$$

If we integrate first on η_1 and η_2, it reduces to

$$\longrightarrow \int_{U_{\mathrm{red}}} \gamma_2(t)\mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.25}$$

But if M is not holomorphically projected, we need a coordinate change of the form

$$t' = t + a(t)\eta_1\eta_2, \quad \eta_1' = \eta_1, \quad \eta_2' = \eta_2. \tag{2.26}$$

In the new coordinate system $(t'|\eta_1', \eta_2')$, the integrand ω is now

$$\omega = (\gamma_0'(t') + \gamma_2'(t')\eta_1\eta_2)\mathrm{d}t'\delta(\mathrm{d}\eta_1')\delta(\mathrm{d}\eta_2') \tag{2.27}$$

with

$$\gamma_2'(t') = \gamma_2(t') - \partial_{t'}\left(a(t')\gamma_0(t')\right) \tag{2.28}$$

and the integral (2.23) reduces to

$$\longrightarrow \int_{U_{\mathrm{red}}} \gamma_2'(t)\mathrm{d}t. \tag{2.29}$$

Then the reductions (2.25) and (2.29) differ by an integral of an exact term $\partial_t\left(a(t)\gamma_0(t)\right)\mathrm{d}t$ on U_{red}. This causes difficulties when we try to combine local contributions from patches together.

In the picture changing formalism, this phenomenon surfaces itself as the dependence of the correlators on the position of the picture changing operators, since changing their positions induces the coordinate changes of the super moduli space. Let us see it in a more traditional language. To move a picture changing operator $\mathcal{Y}(p)$ to another position q, we first go to the large Hilbert space and move the BRST operator:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{Y}(p)\cdots\rangle &= \langle [Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \xi(p)]\xi(q)\cdots\rangle & (2.30)\\
&= \langle \xi(p)[Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \xi(q)]\cdots\rangle + \langle \xi(p)\xi(q)[Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \cdots]\rangle & (2.31)\\
&= \langle \mathcal{Y}(q)\cdots\rangle + \langle \xi(p)\xi(q)[Q_{\mathrm{BRST}}, \cdots]\rangle\,. & (2.32)
\end{aligned}$$

The second term in the last line contains terms where Q_{BRST} acts on the b ghost, thus generating exact terms on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces.

3 Poincaré dual of the reduced manifold

The arguments in the previous section also points the way out. Namely, we have an unambiguous equality

$$\int_M \omega = \int_{M_\mathrm{red}} f \tag{3.1}$$

if

$$\omega = f \prod_{\mu=1}^{\mathrm{odd}\dim M} \eta^\mu \delta(\mathrm{d}\eta^\mu). \tag{3.2}$$

More invariantly under the coordinate change, we state that the form locally defined as

$$\varpi = \prod_i \eta_i \delta(\mathrm{d}\eta_i) \tag{3.3}$$

is well-defined globally, and is the *Poincaré dual* of $M_\mathrm{red} \subset M$, since we have

$$\int_M \alpha \wedge \varpi = \int_{M_\mathrm{red}} \alpha|_{M_\mathrm{red}} \tag{3.4}$$

for all differential forms α without $\delta(\mathrm{d}\eta)$ so that the multiplication on the left hand side meaningful. $\alpha|_{M_\mathrm{red}}$ can be obtained ignoring all the terms containing η's and $\mathrm{d}\eta$'s from α.

Let us check that the form ϖ is invariant under the coordinate change. Suppose that two patches are glued as $\eta^{\mu\prime} = \psi^\mu(x|\eta)$. Then,

$$\prod_\mu \delta(\mathrm{d}\eta^{\mu\prime}) = \prod_\mu \delta\left(\mathrm{d}\psi^\mu(x|\eta)\right) \tag{3.5}$$

$$= \prod_\mu \delta\left(\sum_i \partial_i \psi^\mu \mathrm{d}x^i + \sum_\nu \partial_\nu \psi^\mu \mathrm{d}\eta^\nu\right) \tag{3.6}$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{i,\mu} \partial_i \psi^\mu \mathrm{d}x^i \partial_\mu\right) \prod_\mu \delta\left(\sum_\nu \partial_\nu \psi^\mu \mathrm{d}\eta^\nu\right) \tag{3.7}$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{i,\mu} \partial_i \psi^\mu \mathrm{d}x^i \partial_\mu\right) \frac{1}{\mathrm{Det}A} \prod_\mu (\mathrm{d}\eta^\mu) \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$A_{\mu\nu} := \partial_\mu \psi^\nu|_{\eta=0}\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\prod_\mu \psi^\mu = \prod_\mu \left(\sum_\nu \partial_\nu \psi^\mu|_{\eta=0} \eta^\nu + \text{higher order in } \eta\text{'s}\right) = \mathrm{Det}A \prod_\mu \eta^\mu. \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore we have

$$\varpi' = \prod_\mu \eta^{\mu\prime}\delta(\mathrm{d}\eta^{\mu\prime}) \tag{3.11}$$

$$= \prod_\mu \psi^\mu \exp\left(\sum_i \partial_i\psi^\mu \mathrm{d}x^i\partial_\mu\right)\delta\left(\sum_\nu \partial_\nu\psi^\mu\mathrm{d}\eta^\nu\right) \tag{3.12}$$

$$= \mathrm{Det}A\prod_\mu \eta^\mu \exp\left(\mathcal{O}(\eta)\right)\frac{1}{\mathrm{Det}A}\prod_\mu \delta(\mathrm{d}\eta^\mu) \tag{3.13}$$

$$= \prod_\mu \eta^\mu\delta(\mathrm{d}\eta^\mu) \tag{3.14}$$

$$= \varpi. \tag{3.15}$$

So, if we are to reduce superstring amplitudes to bosonic string amplitudes, we should check that the form $F_\mathcal{V}$ in (2.14) to be integrated can be represented as $\omega_{\mathrm{red}} \wedge \varpi$. We call this phenomenon the saturation of η's and $d\eta$'s. This saturation in the picture changing language means that the exact term in (2.28) and (2.32) vanishes, and therefore the correlation function to be integrated over the bosonic moduli space is completely independent of the positions of the picture changing operators. This saturation also guarantees that the shift of the bosonic part of the supercoordinate near the degenerate super Riemann surfaces by even nilpotent terms does not affect the computation. In particular, we can safely integrate the fermionic coordinates of NS vertices.

4 Bosonic string amplitudes as superstring amplitudes

In this section we study how the mechanism studied in the previous section manifests itself in the embedding $\mathcal{N} = 0$ string theory to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superstring theory in [9].

The $\mathcal{N} = 0$ theory has the matter part X_m and the (b, c) ghost system. We then construct an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ matter system consisting of the matter system X_m, the shifted ghost system (b_1, c_1) whose spin are $(3/2, -1/2)$, and the standard superghost system (b, c) and (β, γ). The super Virasoro generators of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ matter system are

$$S_{\mathrm{mat}} = b_1 + c_1(T_m + \partial c_1 b_1) + \frac{5}{2}\partial^2 c_1, \tag{4.1}$$

$$T_{\mathrm{mat}} = T_m - \frac{3}{2}b_1\partial c_1 - \frac{1}{2}\partial b_1 c_1 + \frac{1}{2}\partial^2(c_1\partial c_1), \tag{4.2}$$

where T_m is the stress energy tensor of X_m. The central charge of T_{mat} is 15.

The shifted ghost system (b_1, c_1) and (β, γ) system have the same spin and the opposite statistics. They are expected to cancel and the whole system goes back to the original $\mathcal{N} = 0$ system consisting of X_m and the (b, c) ghost. We will see below that the integration of (b_1, c_1) and (β, γ) gives $\varpi = \prod \eta\delta(\mathrm{d}\eta)$ which is the Poincaré dual of the reduced moduli space in the supermoduli space.

The correspondence between $\mathcal{N}=1$ vertex operators and $\mathcal{N}=0$ vertex operators is as follows. Let V_i be a dimension-1 vertex operator of X_m. Then $c_1 V_i + \theta V_i$ is a dimension-1/2 superconformal primary of the $\mathcal{N}=1$ matter system $(X_m, (b_1, c_1))$. Then, we can construct an $\mathcal{N}=1$ BRST invariant NS operator $\mathcal{V}_i(\widetilde{z}, z|\theta) = c\delta(\gamma)(c_1 V_i + \theta V_i)$. Let us compute a form $F_{\mathcal{V}}$ defined in (2.5). Denote n is the number of vertex operators. Denote the product of the vertex operators by $\mathcal{V} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{V}_i$ where each $\mathcal{V}_i$ is defined as above. Here we use NS operators $\mathcal{V}_i$ fixed at $(\widetilde{z}_i, z_i|\theta = 0)$. Then, $F_{\mathcal{V}}$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&F_{\mathcal{V}}(m; \mathrm{d}\eta|\eta; \mathrm{d}m) = \\
&\quad\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{V}_i \exp\left(- \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2g-2+n} \frac{\eta_\sigma}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{S} \right) \exp\left(- \sum_{\sigma=1}^{2g-2+n} \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta_\sigma}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{\beta} \right) \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. \times \exp\left(- \sum_{i=1}^{3g-3+n} \frac{\mathrm{d}m_i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \mu^i \widehat{b} \right) \right\rangle_{m,g} \times (\text{antiholomorphic part}). \qquad (4.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\{\chi^\sigma\}$ is the basis of gravitino backgrounds as above.

Only terms in (4.3) which have the $b_1 c_1$ ghost number $2g-2$ are nonzero. The product of vertex operators $\prod \mathcal{V}_i = \prod c\delta(\gamma) c_1 V_i$ has the $b_1 c_1$ ghost number $-n$. Therefore we need to provide the $b_1 c_1$ ghost number $2g-2+n$ from the expansion of $\exp\left(-\sum_{\sigma=1}^{2g-2+n} \frac{\eta_\sigma}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{S}\right)$. The only possibility is

$$
\prod_{\sigma=1}^{2g-2+n} \frac{-\eta_\sigma}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{b}_1. \qquad (4.4)
$$

From a similar consideration on the bc ghost number we conclude that only the term

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{3g-3+n} \frac{-\mathrm{d}m_i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \mu^i \widehat{b} \qquad (4.5)
$$

contributes in the expansion of

$$
\exp\left(- \sum_{i=1}^{3g-3+n} \frac{\mathrm{d}m_i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \mu^i \widehat{b} \right). \qquad (4.6)
$$

Next, we explicitly calculate the integration with b_1 and β field and confirm that the result does not depend on choice of $\{\chi^\sigma\}$ and reproduce the bosonic string amplitude. Let us expand b_1 by modes:

$$
\widehat{b}_1 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2g-2+n} v_\alpha \widehat{b}_1^\alpha + \sum_\lambda w_\lambda \widehat{b}_1^\lambda. \qquad (4.7)
$$

where $\widehat{b}_1^\alpha$ are bosonic zero modes, $\widehat{b}_1^\lambda$ are bosonic non-zero modes and v_α and w_λ are fermionic variables. The field b_1 is allowed to have poles at z_i where vertex operators c_1 sit. Therefore zero modes $\{b_1^\alpha\}$ include meromorphic ones that have poles at z_i. Equivalently, $\{\widehat{b}_1^\alpha\}$ is a basis of

$$H^0(\Sigma_{\rm red}, T\Sigma_{\rm red}^{-3/2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum_i z_i)) \tag{4.8}$$

which is dual to the space of gravitino background

$$H^1(\Sigma_{\rm red}, T\Sigma_{\rm red}^{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-\sum_i z_i)). \tag{4.9}$$

Note that the superghost β is allowed to have poles at z_i because of presence of vertex operator $\delta(\gamma)$. Then, we can expand $\widehat{\beta}$ by the same basis as $\widehat{b}_1$:

$$\widehat{\beta} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2g-2+n} \nu_\alpha \widehat{b}_1^\alpha + \sum_\lambda \omega_\lambda \widehat{b}_1^\lambda. \tag{4.10}$$

where ν_α and ω_λ are bosonic variables.

Integration with zero mode factors υ_α and ν_α in (4.3) gives the factor

$$\begin{aligned}\int \mathrm{d}^{2g-2}\upsilon_\alpha \mathrm{d}^{2g-2}\nu_\alpha \exp\left(-\sum_{\sigma,\alpha} \frac{\eta_\sigma}{2\pi}\int_{\Sigma_{\rm red}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \upsilon_\alpha \widehat{b}_1^\alpha - \sum_{\sigma,\alpha}\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta_\sigma}{2\pi}\int_{\Sigma_{\rm red}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \nu_\alpha \widehat{b}_1^\alpha\right) \\ = \mathrm{Det}M \prod_\sigma \delta(\eta_\sigma)\frac{1}{\mathrm{Det}M}\prod_s \delta(\mathrm{d}\eta_s) = \prod_\sigma \delta(\eta_\sigma)\delta(\mathrm{d}\eta_\sigma).\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where $M^{\sigma\alpha} := \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Sigma_{\rm red}} \chi^\sigma \widehat{b}_1^\alpha$. Now it is obvious that spurious singularity which occurs when the matrix M degenerates does not remain in the last form because of the cancellation with the contribution of b_1 integration.

Hence, $F_{\mathcal{V}}$ is proportional to $\varpi = \prod_{\sigma=1}^{2g-2}(\eta_\sigma \delta(\mathrm{d}\eta_\sigma))$ and according to argument in Sec. 3, the amplitude becomes

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}} = \frac{1}{2^g}\int_{\Gamma_{n_{\rm NS}, n_{\rm R}, {\rm red}}} \left\langle \prod_i \mathcal{V}_i \prod_j \int \mathrm{d}^2 z \mu^j \widehat{b} \right\rangle_{\rm bosonic}. \tag{4.12}$$

The final point to consider is that the integration space in (4.12) is not the integration space of the bosonic string, because $\Gamma_{n_{\rm NS}, n_{\rm R}, {\rm red}} = \mathcal{M}_{\rm spin}$ is the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with spin structures. This point is resolved as follows [7]. The moduli space of spin Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{M}_{\rm spin}$ has two connected components $\mathcal{M}^+$ and $\mathcal{M}^-$, the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with even and odd spin structure respectively. We can define a phase of amplitude to each connected component separately. A Riemann surface with genus g has $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$ even spin structures and $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$ odd structure. We should give a factor of -1 to odd spin structure, then we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\rm bosonic}} \left\langle \prod_i \mathcal{V}_i \prod_j \int \mathrm{d}^2 z \mu^j \widehat{b} \right\rangle_{\rm bosonic}. \tag{4.13}$$

5 Embedding topological string to superstring

Let us now move on to the study of the graviphoton amplitudes in type II string theory and its reduction to the topological string amplitude, which is a bosonic string theory as far as the integration over the moduli space is concerned.

Let us consider the compactification of Type IIA/B theory with $\mathcal{N}=2$ superconformal symmetry with central charge $c=9$. For definiteness we take Type IIA theory. We Wick-rotate the time direction and introduce complex coordinates

$$X_u = X_1 + iX_2, \quad X_v = X_3 + iX_4. \tag{5.1}$$

We use $\mathcal{N}=(1,1)$ superfield $\mathcal{X}^\mu = X^\mu + \theta\psi^\mu + \widetilde{\theta}\widetilde{\psi}^\mu + \theta\widetilde{\theta}F^\mu$. Here and in the following μ runs over $1,2,3,4$. With this set up, the worldsheet supercurrents are given by

$$S = i\psi_\mu \partial X^\mu + G^+ + G^-, \qquad \widetilde{S} = i\widetilde{\psi}_\mu \widetilde{\partial} X^\mu + \widetilde{G}^+ + \widetilde{G}^-. \tag{5.2}$$

We would like to consider amplitudes among $g-1$ graviphotons of momentum p^μ, $g-1$ graviphotons of momentum q^μ, one graviton of momentum p^μ, and one graviton of momentum q^μ. We choose the polarizations so that graviton vertices V_R and the graviphoton vertices V_T are

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{R,uvuv} &= c\widetilde{c}\delta(\gamma)\delta(\widetilde{\gamma}) D_\theta \mathcal{X}^u D_{\widetilde{\theta}} \mathcal{X}^u e^{i(\mathsf{p}_u \mathcal{X}^u + \mathsf{p}_v \mathcal{X}^v)}, \\
V_{R,\bar{u}\bar{v}\bar{u}\bar{v}} &= c\widetilde{c}\delta(\gamma)\delta(\widetilde{\gamma}) D_\theta \mathcal{X}^{\bar{v}} D_{\widetilde{\theta}} \mathcal{X}^{\bar{v}} e^{i(\mathsf{q}_{\bar{u}} \mathcal{X}^{\bar{u}} + \mathsf{q}_{\bar{v}} \mathcal{X}^{\bar{v}})}, \\
V_{T,uv} &= p_v c\widetilde{c}\Theta\widetilde{\Theta}\mathcal{S}_1\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_1 e^{ip_u X^u + ip_v X^v}\Sigma\widetilde{\Sigma}, \\
V_{T,\bar{u}\bar{v}} &= q_{\bar{u}} c\widetilde{c}\Theta\widetilde{\Theta}\mathcal{S}_2\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_2 e^{iq_{\bar{u}} X^{\bar{u}} + iq_{\bar{v}} X^{\bar{v}}}\Sigma\widetilde{\Sigma}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Here $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ are spin fields which have charges $(1/2, 1/2)$ and $(-1/2, -1/2)$ under the bosonized currents of ψ^u and ψ^v, Θ is the spin field for $\beta\gamma$ system, and Σ is the left-moving and the right-moving vertex operators of the internal system which has $U(1)_R$ charge $(3/2, \mp 3/2)$ for typeIIA model and $(3/2, 3/2)$ for typeIIB model constructed from the bosonized version of the $U(1)_R$ current. Here we suppose that metric and gravitino backgrounds are turned off near vertex operators.

We would like to check that there are no subtleties due to the odd moduli integration in the proof that the scattering amplitude of two gravitons and $2g-2$ graviphotons is equal to the genus-g topological vacuum amplitude $\mathcal{F}_g^{\text{top}}$: [8, 9]

$$\mathcal{A}_g\left(V_{R,uvuv} V_{R,\bar{u}\bar{v}\bar{u}\bar{v}} (V_{T,uv})^{g-1} (V_{T,\bar{u}\bar{v}})^{g-1}\right) = V_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathsf{p}_v^2 p_v^{g-1} \mathsf{q}_{\bar{u}}^2 q_{\bar{u}}^{g-1} \, (g!)^2 \mathcal{F}_g^{\text{top}} \tag{5.4}$$

to the leading order in the zero momentum limit. We will see the mechanism of Sec. 3 at work again.

Let us denote the amplitude in the right hand side of (5.4) as $\mathcal{A}$. It has $2g-2$ RR vertices and 2 NS vertices, so the complex dimension of the supermoduli space is $5g-3|3g-1$. Note that here we use unintegrated NS vertices. We write $\mathcal{A}$ as

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2^g} \int_\Gamma F. \tag{5.5}$$

The integrand F is

$$\begin{aligned}F = \Bigg\langle & \prod_i V_{T,uv}(x_i,\widetilde{x}_i)\prod_j V_{T,\bar{u}\bar{v}}(y_j,\widetilde{y}_i)V_{R,uvuv}(z,\widetilde{z}|\theta_1,\widetilde{\theta}_1)V_{R,\bar{u}\bar{v}\bar{u}\bar{v}}(w,\widetilde{w}|\theta_2,\widetilde{\theta}_2)\\ & \times \exp\left(-\sum_{\sigma=1}^{3g-1}\frac{\eta_\sigma}{2\pi}\int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}}\mathrm{d}^2z\chi^\sigma\widehat{S}-\sum_{\sigma=1}^{3g-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta_\sigma}{2\pi}\int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}}\mathrm{d}^2z\chi^\sigma\widehat{\beta}-\sum_{i=1}^{5g-3}\frac{\mathrm{d}m_i}{2\pi}\int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}}\mathrm{d}^2z\mu^i\widehat{b}\right)\\ & \times \exp\left(-\sum_{\sigma=1}^{3g-1}\frac{\widetilde{\eta}_\sigma}{2\pi}\int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}}\mathrm{d}^2z\widetilde{\chi}^\sigma\widehat{\widetilde{S}}-\sum_{\sigma=1}^{3g-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\eta}_\sigma}{2\pi}\int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}}\mathrm{d}^2z\widetilde{\chi}^\sigma\widehat{\widetilde{\beta}}-\sum_{i=1}^{5g-3}\frac{\mathrm{d}\widetilde{m}_i}{2\pi}\int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}}\mathrm{d}^2z\widetilde{\mu}^i\widehat{\widetilde{b}}\right)\\ & \times \exp\left(-\sum_{\sigma,\sigma'}\frac{\eta_\sigma\widetilde{\eta}_{\sigma'}}{4\pi}\int_{\Sigma_{\mathrm{red}}}\mathrm{d}^2z(\widetilde{\psi}^\mu\psi_\mu+A^{++}+A^{+-}+A^{-+}+A^{--})\chi^\sigma\widetilde{\chi}^{\sigma'}\right)\Bigg\rangle_{m,g}. \qquad (5.6)\end{aligned}$$

$\chi^\sigma \in H^1(\sigma_{\mathrm{red}},\widehat{\mathcal{R}})$ are the gravitino basis. $\{\mu_i\}$ is a basis of Beltrami differentials of Riemann surfaces with $2g$ marked punctures. $A^{\pm\pm}$ is an operator of internal theory which couples to $\chi^\mp\widetilde{\chi}^\mp$ to complete $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ local supersymmetry. Note that $A^{\pm\pm}$ have ±1 charge of holomorphic and antiholomorphic internal $U(1)_R$ symmetry because they couple to internal gravitino.

Let us first discuss the internal $U(1)_R$ charge. The field V_T has $(3/2,\mp3/2)$ internal $U(1)_R$ charge. So we need to bring down sufficient number of operators from second, third and fourth line in (5.6) to saturate $U(1)_R$ charge to get a nonzero contribution. All operators in second to third line in (5.6) have 0 or ±1 $U(1)_R$ charge. So we should bring down $3g-3$ η's and $3g-3$ $\widetilde{\eta}$.

Second, consider the terms involving V_R. Recall again that we use unintegrated vertices here; the degrees of freedom of the bosonic and fermionic positions are counted in the Beltrami differentials μ's and χ's. We therefore pick a specific value of the supercoordinates of the NS vertices, which we take to be $\theta_1=\theta_2=0,\widetilde{\theta}_1=\widetilde{\theta}_2=0$ for simplicity. Then, vertex operators become

$$V_{R,uvuv}(\theta_1=0)=c\widetilde{c}\delta(\gamma)\delta(\widetilde{\gamma})\psi^u\widetilde{\psi}^u e^{i(\mathsf{p}_uX^u+\mathsf{p}_vX^v)}, \qquad (5.7)$$

$$V_{R,\bar{u}\bar{v}\bar{u}\bar{v}}(\theta_2=0)=c\widetilde{c}\delta(\gamma)\delta(\widetilde{\gamma})\psi^{\bar{v}}\widetilde{\psi}^{\bar{v}} e^{i(\mathsf{q}_{\bar{u}}X^{\bar{u}}+\mathsf{q}_{\bar{v}}X^{\bar{v}})}. \qquad (5.8)$$

Operators which has the charge of ψ^u are the following: ψ^u itself in V_R, $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}$ in V_T and $\psi^u,\psi^{\bar{u}}$ in χS term. Therefore, to have a nonzero contribution, we need to use the χS term. We can treat $\psi^{\bar{v}},\widetilde{\psi}^u$ and $\widetilde{\psi}^{\bar{v}}$ in a similar manner. In total, we need two η's and two $\widetilde{\eta}$'s to saturate these charges.

Considering both the charge of $U(1)_R$ and the charges of ψ's, we see that the only term which contributes has $3g-1$ of η's and $3g-1$ of $\widetilde{\eta}$'s. Therefore, it involves all the odd moduli, and the amplitudes we are considering can be represented as an integral over the ordinary bosonic moduli space, by the mechanism of Sec. 3. We can safely integrate the odd moduli.

Two of η's and two of $\tilde{\eta}$'s correspond to the fermionic positions of unintegrated NSNS vertices. We integrate these odd moduli first to convert them to the integrated NSNS vertices. Then we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2^g} \int_{\Gamma'} F_{\text{int}} \tag{5.9}$$

where Γ' is a integration cycle in the supermoduli space of super Riemann surfaces with $2g-2$ RR punctures whose dimension is $5g-5|3g-3$. The integrand F_{int} is

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{int}} = \Bigg\langle & \prod_i V_{T,zw}(x_i) \prod_j V_{T,\bar{u}\bar{v}}(y_j) \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z V'_{R,uvuv} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}w V'_{R,\bar{u}\bar{v}\bar{u}\bar{v}} \\ & \times \prod_{\sigma=1}^{3g-3} \frac{\eta_\sigma}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{G}^- \prod_{i=1}^{5g-5} \frac{\mathrm{d}m_i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \mu^i \widehat{b} \ \exp\left(- \sum_{\sigma=1}^{3g-3} \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta_\sigma}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{\beta} \right) \\ & \times \prod_{\sigma=1}^{3g-3} \frac{\widetilde{\eta}_\sigma}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \widetilde{\chi}^\sigma \widehat{\widetilde{G}}^{\pm} \prod_{i=1}^{5g-5} \frac{\mathrm{d}\widetilde{m}_i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \widetilde{\mu}^i \widehat{\widetilde{b}} \ \exp\left(- \sum_{\sigma=1}^{3g-3} \frac{\mathrm{d}\widetilde{\eta}_\sigma}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \widetilde{\chi}^\sigma \widehat{\widetilde{\beta}} \right) \\ & + (\text{terms involving } A\chi\widetilde{\chi}) \ \Big\rangle_{m,g} \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where V'_R is the picture number 0 NSNS vertex:

$$\begin{aligned} V'_{R,uvuv} &= \left(\partial X^u \widetilde{\partial} X^u - \mathsf{p}_v^2 \psi^u \psi^v \widetilde{\psi}^u \widetilde{\psi}^v \right) e^{i(\mathsf{p}_u X^u + \mathsf{p}_v X^v)}, \\ V'_{R,\bar{u}\bar{v}\bar{u}\bar{v}} &= \left(\partial X^{\bar{v}} \widetilde{\partial} X^{\bar{v}} - \mathsf{q}_{\bar{u}}^2 \psi^{\bar{u}} \psi^{\bar{v}} \widetilde{\psi}^{\bar{u}} \widetilde{\psi}^{\bar{v}} \right) e^{i(\mathsf{q}_{\bar{u}} X^{\bar{u}} + \mathsf{q}_{\bar{v}} X^{\bar{v}})}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Now m, η, μ, χ and twisted fields $\widehat{b}, \widehat{G}, \widehat{\beta}$ and their antiholomorphic counterparts are appropriate ones for Γ'.

Then we integrate the η directions of Γ', resulting in

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2^g} \int_{\Gamma_{\text{red}}} F_{\text{red}} \tag{5.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{red}} = \Bigg\langle & \prod_i V_{T,uv}(x_i) \prod_j V_{T,\bar{u}\bar{v}}(y_j) \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z V'_{R,uvuv} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 w V'_{R,\bar{u}\bar{v}\bar{u}\bar{v}} \\ & \times \prod_{\sigma=1}^{3g-3} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{G}^- \prod_{\sigma=1}^{3g-3} \delta\left(\int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \chi^\sigma \widehat{\beta} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{5g-5} \frac{\mathrm{d}m_i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \mu^i \widehat{b} \\ & \times \prod_{\sigma=1}^{3g-3} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \widetilde{\chi}^\sigma \widehat{\widetilde{G}}^{\pm} \prod_{\sigma=1}^{3g-3} \delta\left(\int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \widetilde{\chi}^\sigma \widehat{\widetilde{\beta}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{5g-5} \frac{\mathrm{d}\widetilde{m}_i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\text{red}}} \mathrm{d}^2 z \widetilde{\mu}^i \widehat{\widetilde{b}} \\ & + (\text{terms involving } A\chi\widetilde{\chi}) \ \Big\rangle_{m,g} \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

At this stage we can set χ^σ to have delta function support at appropriate places, at least on generic points of $\Gamma_{\rm red}$. Then we go to the familiar picture changing formalism. Terms involing A vanish if we place holomorphic and antiholomorphic picture changing operators at distinct points. The calculation of [8] goes unchanged. We can explicitly evaluate the contributions from the spacetime bosons and fermions, the ghosts (b,c) and (β,γ), and the internal $U(1)_R$ boson. Then the integral over x_i, y_i and Beltrami differentials associated to them can also be performed. We end up with

$$F_{\rm red} = \mathsf{p}_v^2 p_v^{g-1} \mathsf{q}_{\bar u}^2 q_{\bar u}^{g-1} V_{\mathbb{R}^4} (g!)^2 \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{3g-3} \frac{{\rm d}m_i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\rm red}} {\rm d}^2 z \mu^i G^- \prod_{i=1}^{3g-3} \frac{{\rm d}\widetilde{m}_i}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\rm red}} {\rm d}^2 z \widetilde{\mu}^i \widetilde{G}^- \right\rangle_{m,g} \tag{5.14}$$

at the leading order of momenta, where G, $\widetilde{G}$ are now topologically twisted. This is the relation (5.4) we wanted to show.

Acknowledgments The authors thank Edward Witten for helpful discussions. They also thank their colleagues who spent tens of hours attending the journal club by KO on the contents of [3–5]. YT thanks the hospitality of the Institute for Advanced Study where this manuscript was finalized. The work of KO is partially supported by an Advanced Leading Graduate Course for Photon Science grant. The work of YT is partially supported by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan through the Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe, the University of Tokyo.

References

[1] D. Friedan, E. J. Martinec, and S. H. Shenker, "Conformal Invariance, Supersymmetry and String Theory," *Nucl.Phys.* **B271** (1986) 93.

[2] E. D'Hoker and D. Phong, "Lectures on Two Loop Superstrings," *Conf.Proc.* **C0208124** (2002) 85–123, `arXiv:hep-th/0211111 [hep-th]`.

[3] E. Witten, "Notes On Supermanifolds and Integration," `arXiv:1209.2199 [hep-th]`.

[4] E. Witten, "Notes On Super Riemann Surfaces And Their Moduli," `arXiv:1209.2459 [hep-th]`.

[5] E. Witten, "Superstring Perturbation Theory Revisited," `arXiv:1209.5461 [hep-th]`.

[6] R. Donagi and E. Witten, "Supermoduli Space is Not Projected," `arXiv:1304.7798 [hep-th]`.

[7] N. Berkovits and C. Vafa, "On the Uniqueness of string theory," *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A9** (1994) 653–664, `arXiv:hep-th/9310170 [hep-th]`.

[8] I. Antoniadis, E. Gava, K. Narain, and T. Taylor, “Topological amplitudes in string theory,” *Nucl.Phys.* **B413** (1994) 162–184, `arXiv:hep-th/9307158 [hep-th]`.

[9] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri, and C. Vafa, “Kodaira-Spencer Theory of Gravity and Exact Results for Quantum String Amplitudes,” *Commun.Math.Phys.* **165** (1994) 311–428, `arXiv:hep-th/9309140 [hep-th]`.

[10] E. Witten. Private communication.